

REMARKS

Claims 8-17 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶2, as being indefinite for a couple of reasons, both of which have been obviated in the claims as amended.

Claims 8 and 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Richard '941.

Since claim 9 was indicated as containing allowable subject matter in the First Office Action, claims 8 and 9 have been combined into amended claim 8.

Claim 9 has been cancelled.

Claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Grote '763 and Burgin '205.

Since claim 13 was indicated as containing allowable subject matter in the First Office Action, claims 12 and 13 have been combined into amended claim 12.

Claim 13 has been cancelled.

New claim 18 is mostly like original claim 13 but without the lower levelers of parent claim 12. Original claim 13 was indicated as containing allowable subject matter on the First Office Action so it is believed that claim 18 is allowable for similar reasons that original claim 13 contained allowable subject matter. New claim 19 is dependent on new claim 18.

New claim 20 is mostly like original claim 9, but removing the word "upper" before "leveler" and before "stanchions" and removing "pivotally". Original claim 9 was indicated as containing allowable subject matter on the First Office Action. So it is believed that claim 20 will be allowable for similar reasons that original claim 9 was deemed to contain allowable subject matter.

Accordingly, since remaining claims 1-8, 10-12 and 14-20 are believed to be clearly allowable, a notice to that effect is respectfully submitted.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony J. MUHICH

March 1, 2005
Date

By: Michael O. Sturm
Michael O. Sturm
Reg. No. 26,078

STURM & FIX LLP
206 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1213
Des Moines, Iowa 50309-4076
Phone: 515-288-95809
Fax: 515-288-4860